For the purpose of convenient reference I have deemed it wise to name these fossils but without implying identity with any living species of bee. The name, Celliforma spirifer, is designed to mean "the spiral-bearing form of a cell."

PALEONTOLOGY.—Land shells from the Upper Eocene Sespe deposits, California.¹ G. DALLAS HANNA, California Academy of Sciences. (Communicated by JOHN B. REESIDE, JR.)

Fossil land shells have been found so seldom in the older Tertiary sedimentary rocks of California that the small collection of specimens, submitted to me by Dr. Chester Stock, seems well worthy of record. The shells were found in the course of quarrying operations for fossil vertebrates in the Sespe deposits and are preserved in a dark red and green matrix, so characteristic of the Sespe in general. All of the specimens are more or less imperfect. An association with fossil mammalian remains in the stratum in which they occurred, places their age as upper Eocene.² This type may be described as follows:

Helminthoglypta? stocki Hanna, n. sp. Figures 1 to 3a

Shell large, globose, narrowly umbilicate; whorls about $6\frac{1}{2}$ to 7, sutures moderately impressed; aperture and peristome not preserved, but apparently these are not expanded; shell extremely thin and sculptured only with delicate evenly developed growth lines.

MEASUREMENTS

	Altitude	Diameter
Holotype	32 mm.	38.5 (Slightly immature)
Paratype	27.5	41 (Crushed)
Paratype	26.5	36.5 (Immature)

Holotype, No. 3244, and two paratypes, Nos. 3245, 3246, from the upper Eocene Sespe deposits, north of the Simi Valley, Ventura County, Cali-fornia. Field Locality 180 Calif. Inst. Tech. Vert. Pal. Shells in the invertebrate collections of the California Institute.

The essential characters for diagnosis of the species in *Helminthoglypta* are apparent. The moderately elevated spire and generally globose shape are features found in no other west American species. In these characters the Sespe shells resemble "Helix" leidyi Hall and Meek³ from the Oligocene White River Group of the eastern slope of the Rocky Mountains. That species, however, is usually smaller and somewhat more globose, according

¹ Received November 8, 1934. ² Stock, C. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 20:150-154; 349-354. 1934. ³ HALL, J. and MEEK, F. B. Mem. Amer. Acad. Arts and Sci., n.s. 5: 394, pl. 3, fig. 12. 1854. This species was placed in the new genus *Pseudolisinoe* by Wenz (Foss. Cat. pt. 18, no. 2: 365. 1923), the type being *Helix veterna* Meek and Hayden.

¹ Received November 8, 1934.

to published figures and measurements⁴ and specimens in the California Academy of Sciences.

The only living species in California comparable to this in shape is a large form belonging to the *H. tudiculata* group collected in 1933 by C. C. Church in Tulare County. In a set of nine shells collected by Mr. Church, the largest is 42 mm. in diameter and 29 mm. in altitude; the smallest is



Figs. 1-3a.—Helminthoglypta? stocki Hanna. Fig. 1.—Holotype, no. 3244, anterior view. Fig. 2-3a.—Paratype, no. 3246. Fig. 2.—Basal view. Fig. 3a.—Enlargement of sculpture lines whose position is shown by rectangle in fig. 3.

36 mm. and 26 mm., respectively. Thus the shell is less globose than the Sespe fossil; also the surface of the living form is heavily malleate. Messrs. Church and A. G. Smith have the Tulare County shell under consideration for description.

The Tejon form, H. obtusa Anderson and Hanna⁵ is much smaller (diam. 16 mm.), less depressed, and altogether a different shell. Micrarionta dallasi Hanna,⁶ from the Eocene of the San Diego region, is likewise smaller (diam. 10.5 mm.) and less globose. No other described fossil forms appear to be sufficiently close to need comparison. Several small collections of land shells have been obtained by various parties during recent years in the southeast corner of Kern County, in a Tertiary formation which has been called "Walker." Preservation is usually rather poor and critical study has been postponed in expectation that better material might be discovered. It is possible that the present species may be there represented. The age of the formation is somewhat uncertain, but it lies below the Temblor (middle Miocene) and rests upon granite. The best specimen available was collected by William Barbat, 1,000 feet west of Pyramid Hill, Kern County, in sediments that were thought to belong to the lower Temblor. This shell has a dome-shaped spire, closed umbilicus, and is considerably larger than any of the Sespe specimens.

⁴ COCKERELL, T. D. A. and HENDERSON, JUNIUS, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 31: 232, pl. 22, figs. 1-3. 1912. ⁵ ANDERSON, F. M. and HANNA, G. D. Occ. Papers Calif. Acad. Sci. 11: 142, pl.

ANDERSON, F. M. and HANNA, G. D. OCC. Fapers Call. Acad. Sci. 11: 142, pt. 3, figs. 12, 13. 1925.

⁶ HANNA, M. A., Univ. Calif. Publ. Geol. 16: 330, pl. 57, figs. 8, 11. 1927.

The problem of allocation of fossil land shells to the proper genera has been difficult for paleontologists to solve. The temptation is strong to create new group names without tangible morphological characters and solely on the basis of geologic or geographic position of the specimens. However, it seems best in this regard, when no pronounced structural differences can be found, to follow the work of such authors as Pilsbry,7 Cockerell and Henderson and refer the species to the genus which lives in the region today.

⁷ PILSBRY, H. A., Manual of Conchology, ser. 2, vol. 9, p. XLIV. 1894.

PALEONTOLOGY.-Pleurotomaria pseudostrigillata nom. nov. and Chonetes acanthophorus nom. nov.¹ GEORGE H. GIRTY, U. S. Geological Survey.

In the course of describing the Guadalupian fauna in 1908² I unwittingly named one of the species Pleurotomaria strigillata, overlooking the fact that Herrick³ had already described a Waverly species under that name. The Guadalupian species should now be known as *Pleurotomaria pseudostrigillata*. Furthermore, Mr. Ralph H. King has considerately called my attention to the circumstance that my Chonetes granulifer var. armatus⁴ is virtually a homonym of Chonetes armatus⁵ Norwood and Pratten 1854, a name even at that time preoccupied by Bouchard.⁶ I propose to substitute Chonetes acanthophorus for the form found in the Wewoka formation. Acknowledgment for some of these references is gratefully made to Mr. King.

¹ Published by permission of the Director of the U.S. Geological survey. Received ¹ Published by permission of the Director of the Orbit decought in the Structure of the Orbit decought in the Or

⁶ See MURCHISON, DE VERNEUIL, and KEYSERLING, Geologie de la Russie, etc. 2: 241. 1845.

BOTANY.—The inflorescence in Schizostachyum Nees.¹ F. A. MCCLURE, Lingman University. (Communicated by A. S. HITCHCOCK.)

During the course of a study of the Chinese species of Schizostachyum just completed,² it became apparent to the writer from a comparison of numerous herbarium specimens with their published descriptions, and from a study of various published descriptions of the genus, that the structure of the inflorescence is not as well understood as it should be. Reasons for this are not difficult to find. The fluid and unusual nature of the inflorescence in this genus has caused no

¹ Received July 27, 1934.

² To appear shortly in the Lingnan Science Journal.